With many companies having tens of thousands of suppliers, it is not surprising that managing corruption risks is proving problematic. **Miranda Ingram** reports

he Bribery Act 2010 was passed in the UK in 2011. So far there have been no prosecutions but investigations are underway. The first prosecution will make it very clear what is and isn't acceptable and how heavy the punishments will be.

Obviously a fat brown envelope to a government employee or customs official is a no-no. But what about Wimbledon tickets, say, or a slap-up lunch?

Do you know if your procurement manager selecting suppliers because of a family relationship? Is one of your suppliers' suppliers paying kickbacks to pass health and safety inspections? Could your purchasers and suppliers be divvying up the spoils of fraudulent billing?

Corruption is possibly the biggest obstacle to economic and social development around the world, distorting markets, stifling economic growth, undermining democracy and the rule of law. The United Nations Global Compact estimates that the cost of corruption is more than 5 per cent of global GDP, with more than US\$1.5 trillion paid in bribes each year.

Businesses all over the world are exposed daily to corruption risks in the supply chain as well as the ensuing financial hardships, growing threat of legal action and increased pressure of public opinion. Yet almost two thirds of the anti-corruption due diligence procedures assessed by GoodCorporation over the past four years have been found to be inadequate – particularly appropriate

Raw Material Supplier

of thousands of suppliers, it is not surprising that this is proving problematic. The temptation is to do

Fightingsupply



Managing corruption risks in the supply chain is one of the hardest areas of anti-bribery controls to get right

due diligence on third parties.

"Managing corruption risks in the supply chain is one of the hardest areas of anti-bribery controls to get right," says GoodCorporation director Michael Littlechild.

"With many companies having tens

one of two things: conduct superficial due diligence on all third parties and suppliers or carry out more detailed investigations on a handful thought to pose the biggest risk."

But today the situation is the exact opposite of what it used to be. Ten or

so years ago, when you talked about corruption in the supply chain, people would shrug and say "that's the way business is done over there; you can't operate without kickbacks." Then, the supply chain could be used as a way of not knowing what was going on: "I don't care how you do it but I need those goods shipped this week" was a way of not knowing that a customs official had had to be bribed to speed up clearance.'

But the new Bribery Act - and similar toughened up legislation around the world - makes a company responsible for the actions of its agents - a particular risk when the use of a local agent is obligatory in licensing applications, for example.

"Anti corruption due diligence is not rocket science," says Littlechild. "But it does demand focused effort as well as considerable resources to do it properly. This is particularly tough



hain corruption

on SMEs who as well as lacking resources may also lack clout. If you are Shell, say, and you ask a supplier to fill in a questionnaire they'll probably do it - a smaller company may lack leverage."

But while the investment in stringent anti corruption policies may be high, the costs of ignoring it can be far higher - not just the costs of the corruption itself but also management time and resources

dealing with the fallout, such as legal liability and damage to a company's reputation.

Dealing with corruption, on the other hand, can improve product quality, reduce fraud and related costs, enhance the company's reputation, improve the business environment by promoting fair competition and create a more sustainable platform for future growth.

Crucially, suppliers also reap benefits. The United Nations Global Compact 10th Principle Working Group recommends that customers not merely dictate compliance terms but play an active role in educating suppliers and helping them fix problems.

Tullow Oil is a leading independent oil and gas company operating in Africa and the Atlantic Margins and with a portfolio of over 130 licences spanning twenty two countries.

Since instigating a rigorous zero tolerance for bribery and corruption programme with the launch of its Supply Chain Anti-Corruption Due Diligence Evaluation Procedure in

2014, the company raises awareness of its Code with suppliers through meetings that are held before contracts are awarded.

"The oil industry has known issues of corruption related to bribes being paid for securing of contracts, collusion in companies' supply chains and unethical dealings with public officials, to name a few," says ethics and compliance manager Hemrish Aubeelack.

Tullow now makes sure all suppliers have an equal opportunity to tender by providing advance notice of tenders, an overview of the standards potential suppliers are required to meet and feedback to all unsuccessful companies on why they were not selected.

Supply chain due diligence has had a positive impact in encouraging suppliers that did not have adequate controls in place.

"We have had a number of success stories where, as a result of providing feedback to suppliers on their anti-corruption controls that we considered inadequate, suppliers took the opportunity to develop or enhance their compliance programmes. They understand that investing in compliance controls makes them an attractive and trusted business partner for any client, which is rewarding,"says

Over the past year, during which Tallow was assessed by Transparency International as having scored 100% in 'reporting on anti-corruption programmes', the company has delivered workshops and training to over 200 staff and suppliers involved in contract management and both staff and business partners are encouraged to raise concerns about actual or potential breaches of the company's Code of Business Conduct, anonymously if they wish, through the company's 'Speaking Up'

"With an anti-corruption programme in place we are able to demonstrate to our stakeholders, both internal and external, how we are living our values," says Aubeelack.